Deep Impact: Observations from a Worldwide Earth-Based Campaign

On 4 July 2005, many observatories around the world and in space observed the collision of Deep Impact with comet 9P/Tempel 1 or its aftermath. This was an unprecedented coordinated observational campaign. These data show that (i) there was new material after impact that was compositionally different from that seen before impact; (ii) the ratio of dust mass to gas mass in the ejecta was much larger than before impact; (iii) the new activity did not last more than a few days, and by 9 July the comet’s behavior was indistinguishable from its pre-impact behavior; and (iv) there were interesting transient phenomena that may be correlated with cratering physics.

The Deep Impact mission was designed so that much of the mission-critical science would be done from Earth-based telescopes. These facilities would observe the comet before, during, and after impact to follow the evolution of the comet in wavelength regimes and time scales inaccessible to the spacecraft. Observations began in 1997 to characterize the nucleus of comet 9P/Tempel 1 for mission planning and to establish a baseline of normal behavior against which impact-induced changes could be assessed (1, 2). From 1997 through 2004, observations on 229 nights were obtained from 14 telescopes at nine observatories. In 2005, since the comet came out of solar conjunction, the worldwide collaboration has involved more than 500 whole or partial nights of observation using 73 Earth-based telescopes at 35 observatories (Fig. 1), plus many (Earth-orbital and Sun-orbital) space-based facilities.

Here we give an overview of the scientific conclusions and collective observations from the Earth-based campaign (3). As seen from Earth, the Deep Impact event did not create a new period of sustained cometary activity, and in many ways the artificial impact looked very much like a natural outburst. There were some observable changes after impact in the chemistry of the observed dust and gas as well as in the physical properties of the dust, which may suggest that the material beneath the surface was different in composition from the surface materials.

**Ejecta cloud.** The ejecta cloud was first resolved ~20 min after impact by Earth-orbiting telescopes at visible and ultraviolet wavelengths. Later, ground-based telescopes worldwide imaged the southwesterly-expanding cloud of dust and gas in the visible and infrared (IR) wavelength regime (0.3 to 13 μm). Generally, the visible and near-IR wavelengths (0.3 to 2.5 μm) achieved the best spatial resolution and sensitivity; that is, most observations were sampling the reflected sunlight from dust in the cloud, with some contribution from the gas in emission bands (~0.6 μm).

About 1 hour after impact, the ejecta was semicircular and extended across position angles 145° to 325°. The ejecta cloud had a nonuniform light distribution. During the first 20 hours after impact, the time series of images showed the leading edge of the dust cloud expanding outward at a projected speed of ~200 ± 20 m/s (although varying with azimuth). The southward orientation of the ejecta indicates that the impact occurred below the orbital plane of the comet.

From 6 July 2005 (all dates are UT) onward, the expanding dust cloud increasingly changed shape because of the push of solar radiation pressure, forcing the particles into the tail (i.e., antisolar) direction at a position angle of 110°.
The maximum projected distance in the sunward direction was 30,000 km, achieved on 7 July (Fig. 2). Together, the projected speed and projected distance imply that a typical dust grain experienced a ratio of radiation pressure to gravity of ~0.3.

The size-sorting of the dust grains by radiation pressure led to color changes in the ejecta cloud. Bluer colors on the tailward side of the plume suggested that submicrometer dust grains—which are more sensitive to radiation pressure and less efficient in reflecting red and IR light—were pushed out first. By 9 July, the dust cloud dispersed and had faded below the detection limit of many imaging instruments (Fig. 2).

By assuming a dust albedo and a “typical” grain size (0.5 μm), the flux of the impact ejecta can be converted into a total dust mass. On the order of ~10^10 kg of dust were liberated, equivalent to ~10 hours of normal (preimpact) dust production.

**Coma structures.** For the 6 months before impact, the dust coma showed a broad fan to the southeast and other narrow jetlike radial features at various azimuths. Because they did not vary with the rotation of the nucleus, these features at various azimuths. Because they did not vary with the rotation of the nucleus, these features may be due to local variations in coma properties or photochemical processes rather than channeled outflows. When 10080 Brahe was imaged at the time of the Deep Impact encounter, the diffuse coma was observed as a wide cone with a sharp leading edge, likely resulting from photodesorption of volatile materials from the nucleus surface, similar to what was observed during the comet 81P/Wild 2 impact in 2004. The diffuse coma persisted for several months, evolving into a more extended and coma-like structure. These observations suggest that photodesorption plays a significant role in the formation of comae, complementing traditional outflow mechanisms. The diffuse coma also exhibits spatial and temporal variations that may be related to the orientation of the nucleus relative to the Sun and Earth, as well as the distribution of volatile materials on the surface.

Fig. 1. Map of Earth, showing the locations of observatories collaborating in the coordinated campaign (red dots). World map credit: NASA.
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features are interpreted as a fan coma emanating from localized sources on the nucleus, with the observer point of view being outside the emission cone (4, 5). Neither the number of jets and fans nor their orientations changed during the impact period. In particular, no new long-lived jet or fan has been identified as being from the newly excavated crater.

Fluctuations that were observed in the intensity of some coma structures are possibly related to the impact event itself but could also be due to natural variations in activity. A southwesterly jetlike feature seen one-half rotation period after impact was observed to be brighter than it had been before impact. This could have been caused by gas production from the ejecta dust grains themselves. By just one full rotation period after impact (41 hours), the coma morphology had returned to its pre-impact state, which suggests that the impact site was by this time beginning to cease its activity.

Gas production. The gas species commonly monitored at visible wavelengths in cometary comae—CN, C2, C3, NH2, and CH—were observed in 9P/Tempel 1 before and after impact. During the first 2 days after impact, observations showed the intensity of the species’ emission bands increasing by a factor of ~1.5 to 5. An example of the increase in CN as seen through spectroscopy is shown in Fig. 3. Photometry was also used by some groups (6). The abundance ratios among the common species stayed at pre-impact levels (5). In particular, the C2-to-CN abundance ratio of ~0.8 classifies 9P/Tempel 1 as a “typical” comet (7), as it was before impact. Gas production was back to its pre-impact level by 9 July.

In the near-IR, species not directly detectable before impact—H2O, C2H6, CH3OH, C2H2, and HCN—appeared after impact (8). The abundance ratios among these species were consistent with those of typical Oort cloud comets (8), although 9P/Tempel 1 is a Jupiter-family comet. There have been relatively few studies of these species among members of the Jupiter family.

Measurements of the CN (0-0) band in the visible spectra revealed isotopic abundances of carbon and nitrogen: The 12C/13C ratio was close to the solar value (which is 89), and the 14N/15N ratio was half that of Earth’s value (which is 272). Hence, comet 9P/Tempel 1 shows the same low nitrogen isotopic ratio that was recently detected in other Jupiter-family comets (9).

In addition to near-IR detections of water, other groups monitored the submillimeter transitions of H2O and the near-ultraviolet transitions of OH. For example, spacecraft observing the 557-GHz transition of water reported a 20% increase in the hours after impact. However, the natural variations in water production that were seen before impact could account for this. On the other hand, there was also a factor of 3 increase in OH production. The reconciliation of these data awaits further analysis.

Several species were monitored from ground-based radio telescopes. HCN at 88.6 and 265.9 GHz and CH3OH at 145 GHz were detected for only a few days after impact; the production rates later returned to or fell below pre-impact levels. The abundance ratios of HCN and CH3OH relative to water were similar to those observed in other comets. Post-impact upper limits to production rates were derived for CO, CS, H2CO, and H2S; pre-impact upper limits were obtained for OH, CH3OH, and HCN. All radio detections and upper limits with space-based and ground-based telescopes indicated very little effect on molecular gas production as a result of the impact, whereas somewhat larger effects were noticeable in H2, C2, and N2-bearing molecules and in the dust detectable in the visible and near-IR wavelength region. A possible explanation for this different behavior could be gas released from the ejected cometary dust as a consequence of dust fragmentation due to the sublimation of intergrain ices.

Wide-angle imaging in narrowband filters tuned to the fluorescence of H2O+ and CO+ in visible wavelengths was performed. The observations did not reveal any signatures of substantial ion production that could be attributed to the impact.

X-ray observations (0.1 to 1.0 keV) were performed at impact time and afterward. Comets produce x-rays by charge-exchange reactions between the solar wind’s highly ionized minor ion population and the neutral cometary gas species (10). A ~30% increase in the x-ray counts, lasting for about 1 day, was seen by Earth-orbiting x-ray telescopes after impact. This is interpreted as due to excursions in the comet’s gas production rate for a collisionally thin charge-exchange system.

Dust properties. Mid-IR observations can be used to constrain fundamental properties of cometary dust, and 9P/Tempel 1 was no exception, at least after impact. Because of the comet’s faintness, pre-impact mid-IR spectra (λ = 8 to 13 μm) obtained from the ground were essentially flat and featureless. Space-based observations gave better signal but yielded a similar pre-impact picture. The grains were generally large (>1 μm) and the 8- to 13-μm emission band was very weak, consistent with previous apparitions (11).

Immediately after impact, a short barlike structure extending ~1 arc sec at a position angle of ~225° was seen from ground-based mid-IR imaging. Over the next several hours, the mid-IR flux of the central coma brightened by a factor of ~2 (Fig. 4). Note that the increase in total dust flux (compared to the apparently more modest increase in gas flux) implies that the ratio of dust mass to gas mass in the ejecta was not the same as that seen before impact. This was a dusty impact.

Ground-based mid-IR spectroscopy revealed a substantial growth in the 8- to 13-μm silicate emission feature after impact. The strength of that emission band suggests an emission dominated by submicrometer (0.5 to 1 μm) dust grains. The small size of the grains is consistent with the reports from the spacecraft imaging (12). The composition, as derived from modeling the shape of the emission band, is a mix of amorphous olivine and pyroxene, amorphous carbon (which controls the dust temperature), cristalline fassite, and clino- and orthopyroxene (13, 14). In particular, the resonance peak seen at 11.2 μm is indicative of Mg-rich crystalline olivine. Indeed, the degree of crystallinity in the dust grains was substantially higher in the impact ejecta relative to pre-impact measurements. Organic refractory
The shape of the post-impact silicate feature is strikingly similar to the spectra of active, long-period comets, especially Hale-Bopp. The silicate emission band consisted of the ground (5 to 8 μm, 13 to 18 μm, and >25 μm), the space-based data filled in the gaps. Imaging at λ = 16 μm at the time of impact may have revealed thermal emission from the hot impact plume, albeit with a spatial resolution that was poorer than that of the ground-based telescopes by a factor of 5 to 10. Spectroscopic coverage of the entire 5- to 40-μm region after impact revealed compositional and grain temperature information similar to what was seen on the ground. The 9- to 37-μm region showed evidence of crystalline pyroxene in addition to the olivine seen from the ground. Spectral features due to H₂O, CO₂, and pyroxene in addition to the olivine seen from the ground. Spectral features due to H₂O, CO₂, and pyroxene in addition to the olivine seen from the ground. Spectral features due to H₂O, CO₂, and pyroxene in addition to the olivine seen from the ground.

Photometric behavior. The transient photometric behavior of the comet’s inner coma in the first 15 to 30 min after impact was recorded by many groups. For a small aperture of radius ~1 arc sec, the comet brightened by about 2.3 mag in the visible wavelengths. Note that the nucleus had a magnitude of ~17 in standard Cousins R band at the time of impact.

Subtle changes in the light curve can be linked to post-impact phenomena on the comet’s surface. A typical light curve with high temporal resolution is shown in Fig. 5. In the first few minutes, there were three distinct rates of brightening. From impact to ~1 min after, the comet brightened sharply. Then, for the next 6 min, the brightening rate was more gradual. However, at ~7 min after impact, the brightening rate increased again, although not as steeply as at first. This rate remained constant for the next 10 to 15 min, at which point the comet’s flux began to level off. In the smallest apertures (radius ~1 arc sec), the flux then began to decrease again ~45 min after impact.

This three-sloped light curve as seen in Fig. 5 could be directly linked to the formation of the impact crater, its evolution, and the evolution of the outgassing from it. The falloff in brightness by the first few hours after impact is related to a decrease in the level of activity from the new crater. However, the effect is also partly due to the ejecta moving beyond the edge of the photometric aperture; the peak of the light curve depends strongly on aperture size. Light curves from larger apertures displayed later times of peak brightness; moreover, the comet did not stay at its peak brightness for very long, regardless of aperture. This means that the outgassing from the crater, although much less fecund relative to its activity immediately after impact, had not completely ceased. If it had, light curves with large apertures would show a flat peak flux lasting for the length of time needed for the dust to move out of the aperture.

No group reported seeing an unambiguous, short-duration (<1 s) flash at the exact moment of impact, despite the impact site being visible from Earth. This is likely due to the low contrast of the flash versus the rest of the light from the inner coma as seen in most Earth-based telescopes.

Natural outbursts. The comet was observed to have a series of natural outbursts in addition to the one induced by Deep Impact. These outbursts were identifiable above the comet’s normal, gradual brightening as it approached perihelion. The brightness of the comet’s dust coma varied with heliocentric distance r as r⁻⁶.7 until early May and dropped thereafter. The first identified outburst occurred on 23 and 24 February (16) as the comet brightened by ~40%.

Morphological analysis of an outburst was carried out from visible-wavelength images obtained on 14 June. The outburst showed an arc of material extending over position angles of 215° to 45°. At the peak of the outburst, the comet’s brightness was higher than that in previous dates by ~50 to 60%. This outburst was also seen by the Deep Impact spacecraft itself. Observations by multiple telescopes allowed a projected velocity of the dust from the outburst to be calculated: ~200 m/s. Note that this is similar to the speed of the Deep Impact ejecta.

After this discovery, more intense photometric monitoring was initiated, and a series of other outbursts were monitored.
of outbursts occurred approximately every 8 days. On 22 June, there was another outburst and the dust coma morphology was similar to the one on 14 June. On 29 June, mid-IR and x-ray observations revealed another outburst.

On 2 July, another outburst was reported by the spacecraft and by several observers. This was the only event for which a sub-millimeter continuum detection was obtained; no such detection was reported for the impact event itself. An outburst that was seen by ground-based radio observations of OH occurred on 6 July. Further outbursts were reported on 8 July (in x-rays) and 9 July (in visible wavelengths).

This series of pre- and post-impact natural outbursts bears strong resemblance to the one induced by the impact itself. The projected expansion velocity of the dust cloud has been \( \sim 200 \text{ m/s} \) for every outburst. The coma morphologies induced by both the natural outbursts and the impact-induced one have been very similar. Specifically, the shape of the ejecta cloud and the ejecta opening angles (\( \sim 180^\circ \)) behave similarly, expanding until the radiation pressure starts to dominate the structure.

**Summary.** The ground-based observing campaign brought together a collaboration of unprecedented size and scope for support of a spacecraft mission. We had worldwide international cooperation, which was critical for addressing fundamental questions revealed by the Deep Impact experiment. Data analysis continues, but several conclusions can be made.

We now have adequate observations to understand the detailed composition of dust in a Jupiter-family comet. Furthermore, this dust comes from deeper subsurface layers than normal, so it is less processed than the cometary dust we normally see. The dust to gas ratio in the ejecta was larger than what was measured before impact, which suggests that the volatile content of the nucleus’s material is depleted even several meters below the surface.

The consensus from the observing campaign was that the impact was an impulsive event. A large amount of material was ejected into the coma in a very short time and took no more than 5 days to dissipate, but the amount of material emitted from the impact site was relatively small. Although we cannot conclusively state that the impact did not create a new source, we can conclude that any new source must be small when compared to the sources that already existed on the nucleus.
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